

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION No. 20692 of 2004

Between:

1 Seshaiah, S/o Govindaiah, aged about 50 years,

Occ: Business

2 Shivasenkar Chetty, S/o Chinnappa chetty,

aged about 50 years, Occ: Business

3 Ravi s/o Chennaiah, aged about 19 years,

Occ: Business

4 A. Gangamma, aged about 45 years,

House wife

5 Chendurudu , S/o Chennaiah,

aged about 45 years, Occ: Business

6 Prabhu, S/o Delhi Babu,

aged about 19 years, Occ: Student

(All are residing at Suratapalle, Nagalapuram Mandal,
Chittoor District).

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 Assistant Commissioner, Endowments Department, Chittoor.

2 Inspector of Endowments Department, Tirupathi.

3 S. Aparanji Raju, s/o S. Narayanaswamy,
aged about 48 years,

4 T. Jayachandradass Raju, s/o T.V. Narayanaswamy,
aged about 50 years

5 K. Sekar Modali, s/o Sivalaga Modali,
aged about 43 years

6 Smt. Babiamma, W/o G. Rajagopal Naidu,
aged about 40 years

7 Sri M.G. Nageswar Rao, S/o M. Chengama Naidu,
aged about 47 years

(Respondents 3 to 7 all are residing at Suratapalle,
Nagalapuram Mandal, Chittoor District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ in the nature of a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ direction, order or orders declaring the proceedings in RC.No. B1/3949/2004, dated 29-10-2004 issued by the 1st respondent appointing Respondents 3 to 7 as trust board for Pallikondeswara Swamy Temple, Suratapalli Village, Nagalapuram Mandal as wholly arbitrary, illegal without jurisdiction.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.G.SUDHEER for MR.M.P.CHANDRAMOULI

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2: GP FOR ENDOWMENTS

The Court made the following:

-

-

-

-
-
-
-
-
ORDER:

-
Sri G.Sudheer, representing Sri M.P.Chandramouli, the learned counsel for the petitioners, seeks permission to withdraw the writ petition. Permission is accorded.

2. The Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as withdrawn. No costs.

18.11.2004

dsr

To:

1. The Assistant Commissioner,
Endowments Department, Chittoor

2. The Inspector of Endowments Department,
Tirupati

3. Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Endowments,
High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)

4. Two CD copies.